

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/443,692	11/19/1999	TAKESHI ANDO	13191	7589
23389	7590 01/26/200	5	EXAM	INER
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			TSEGAYE, SABA	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2662	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/443,692	ANDO, TAKESHI				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Saba Tsegaye	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	,				
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whichever is later. In no				
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	E FINAL RÉJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather several is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	· .				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):					
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	•	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 2, 3, 5, 8 and 10.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The drawing correction filed on $\frac{1}{1/2}$ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	,				
10. Other:	•					
HASSAN KIZOU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the phrase "calculated directly from a value" presents a new issue that would require further consideration and new search.